



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------|------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/808,899 | 03/24/2004 | Sang-Eun Nam | 2060-3105 | 7413 |
| 7 | 590 12/19/2005 | | EXAM | INER . |
| JONATHAN Y. KANG, ESQ. | | | SAMS, MATTHEW C | |
| LEE, HONG, I | DEGERMAN, KANG & | SCHMADEKA | | |
| 14th Floor | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| 901 C Figures Street | | | 2642 | |

801 S. Figueroa Street Los Angeles, CA 90017-5554

DATE MAILED: 12/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|--|---|
| | 10/808,899 | NAM, SANG-EUN |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Matthew C. Sams | 2643 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply | pears on the cover sheet w | ith the correspondence address |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become Al | CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status | | |
| Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 № 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) Thi Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under | s action is non-final. ance except for formal mat | • • |
| Disposition of Claims | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | awn from consideration. | |
| Application Papers | | • |
| 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examina 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 24 March 2004 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examina 11. | a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ ob e drawing(s) be held in abeyar ction is required if the drawing | nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat* * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | nts have been received. Its have been received in Apprity documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | Application No received in this National Stage |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/22/2004. | Paper No(| Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |

Application/Control Number: 10/808,899

Art Unit: 2643

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement filed on 11/22/2004 has been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1 & 4-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chiang (US-4,239,269).

Regarding claim 1, Chiang teaches a locking mechanism (Fig. 4 & 5) comprising at least one spring loaded locking member (Fig. 4 [30 & 35]), at least one lock release device operatively coupled to at least one spring loaded locking member (Fig. 5 [30 & 35]), at least one latching member (Fig. 4 [8]) being released from the grip of the spring loaded locking member (Fig. 5 [30 & 35]) when the lock release device is forced in

Art Unit: 2643

frictional sliding contact with at least one spring loaded locking member against the spring bias of the spring loaded locking member. (Fig. 4, Fig. 5 and Col. 2 lines 5-29)

Regarding claim 4, Chiang teaches a locking mechanism that has a lock release device that includes a first surface adapted to match the curvature of a corresponding second surface on the spring loaded locking member. (Fig. 4 and Fig. 5 [8 & 32])

Regarding claim 5, Chiang teaches a first and second surface that has an inclined configuration. (Fig. 4 & 5 [8 & 32])

Regarding claim 6, Chiang teaches a lock release device is spring loaded. (Fig. 4 & 5 [30 & 35] and Col. 2 lines 30-68)

Regarding claim 7, Chiang teaches at least one spring loaded locking member adapted to move in a first direction against its spring bias. (Fig. 4 & 5 [30 & 35] and Col. 2 lines 30-68)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 2 & 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chiang in view of Hughes et al. (US-6,625,425 hereafter, Hughes).

Application/Control Number: 10/808,899

Art Unit: 2643

Regarding claim 2, Chiang teaches a locking mechanism as shown above regarding claim 1, but differs from the claimed invention by not mentioning the locking mechanism is used for removably locking a battery cover to the main body of a mobile telephone set. However, Hughes teaches a latching assembly for a module cover (Fig. 2 [212]) of a wireless communication device's battery (Fig. 2 [204]). (Fig. 2, Col. 1 lines 42-51 & Col. 3 lines 19-41) At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the locking mechanism of Chiang in the wireless communication device's battery module cover of Hughes. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this since Chiang's lock has a simple structure with minimal components (Chiang Col. 1 lines 10-13) and can secure the battery cover to the housing to keep foreign matter out of the inside of the mobile device. (Hughes Col. 1 lines 42-67)

Regarding claim 3, Chiang in view of Hughes teaches one spring loaded locking member (Chiang Fig. 5 [30 & 35]) includes one locking leg adapted to grip (Chiang Fig. 4 & 5 [32]) at least one latching member (Chiang Fig. 4 & 5 [8]) to secure the battery cover (Hughes Fig. 2 [212]) to the main telephone body. (Hughes Fig. 2 [102])

7. Claims 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chiang.

Regarding claim 8, Chiang teaches two identical spring loaded lock release devices (Fig. 1 [4 & 4]) that move in the same direction against its spring bias and differ from the claimed invention by not moving in different directions. However, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to move the spring loaded lock releases from

Application/Control Number: 10/808,899

Art Unit: 2643

the front of the briefcase to the sides of the briefcase in order to have the second spring bias's direction and force being the opposite of the direction and force of the first spring bias. One of ordinary skill would have been motivated to do this since having one spring bias's force cancel out the second spring bias's force would keep the briefcase from moving in an unwanted direction away from the user when the user is pressing the lock release devices.

Regarding claim 9, Chiang teaches the second direction is substantially perpendicular to the first direction. (Fig. 5 [arrows left-to-right and bottom-to-top])

Regarding claim 10, Chiang teaches that when the first and second inclined surfaces are in frictional sliding contact, at least one of the spring loaded lock release device is forced to move in the second direction. (Fig. 4 [8, 30, 32 & 35] and Fig. 5 [arrows, 8, 30, 32 & 35])

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US-6,785,567 to Kato featuring a radio device holder and locking member.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew C. Sams whose telephone number is (571)272-8099. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-5.

Application/Control Number: 10/808,899 Page 6

Art Unit: 2643

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis Kuntz can be reached on (571)272-7499. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MCS 12/7/2005

STyda antyd Rammaka vystyd llocullov Oogs ratudo vol lokallu